The regular meeting of the Martin County Board of Commissioners was called to order at 4:30 p.m. by Chairman Steve Donnelly.

Commissioners present were Elliot Belgard, Jack Potter, Dan Schmidtke, and Steve Pierce. Also present were Scott Higgins, Martin County Coordinator, James Forshee, Martin County Auditor/Treasurer, Rod Halvorsen, KSUM/KFMC Radio, Kevin Peyman, Martin County Highway Engineer, Jennifer Brookens, Sentinel Newspaper, Julie Walters, Administrative Assistant, and members of staff and public.

Motion by Commissioner Pierce, seconded by Commissioner Belgard, Be It Resolved that the Martin County Board of Commissioners, hereby approve the agenda with the following additions: 8.8 Consider Out of State Travel Request – Assessor’s Office; and Introduction of Anna Olson, Interim 4-H Program Coordinator. Carried unanimously.

Motion by Commissioner Schmidtke, seconded by Commissioner Potter, Be It Resolved that the Martin County Board of Commissioners, hereby approve the minutes of the June 5, 2012 regular Board of Commissioners meeting. Carried unanimously.

Anna Olson, Interim 4-H Program Coordinator, introduced herself and gave a brief presentation about herself and her background. The Board welcomed Olson to her new position.

Pam Flitter, Martin County Zoning Official, presented the Planning Commission’s recommendation to adopt the following amendments to the Zoning Ordinance:

**NOTICE of INTENT TO ADOPT THE MARTIN COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE**

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN,** That on Tuesday, June 19, 2012 at 4:30 p.m. in the Martin County Commissioners Room, 201 Lake Avenue, Fairmont, Minnesota, the Martin County Board of Commissioners will adopt amendments to the Martin County Zoning Ordinance. The proposed amendments are:

- Chapter 3 ~ Definitions ~
  - **Sewage Treatment System, Public.** Means a system owned and operated by a City, Township, County or other Governmental Entity recognized by Minnesota Statute,
including the sewage treatment plant, and all pipelines or conduits, pumping stations, force main, and other construction, devices, appliances, or appurtenances used for conducting sewage or industrial waste or other wastes to the treatment plant. This definition does not include cluster systems nor any SSTS defined by MPCA regulations, nor any privately owned and operated sewage treatment systems.

➢ Chapter 10 ~ Agricultural District ~
Add #17 “Waste Water Ponds ~ Public Sewage Treatment System” to Subdivision 3, Conditional Uses.

Flitter stated that on May 22, 2012 the Martin County Planning Commission met and held a public hearing regarding the Municipal Sewer Waste Water Ponds that had been discussed previously and they recommended approval to the County Board. Flitter went on to state we did this because approximately half of the municipal septic sewage systems are located out in the County, not in city limits; and currently there are no provisions in our Ordinance that says they need to notify us to make sure that all the proper state permits and County requirements are being met. Flitter noted today’s meeting is an open meeting as we already held a public hearing for the Planning Commission. The County Board can hold an additional public hearing if you choose to; can take the recommendation of the Planning Commission; or can table the amendments and send it back to the Planning Commission to have it studied or reviewed further. After discussion,

Motion by Commissioner Belgard, seconded by Commissioner, seconded by Commissioner Pierce, hereby close the public portion of the hearing. Carried unanimously.

Motion by Commissioner Schmidtke, seconded by Commissioner Potter, Be It Resolved that the Martin County Board of Commissioners, after required public hearing was held by the Martin County Planning Commission on May 22, 2012 for the consideration of amending the Martin County Zoning Ordinance Chapter 3 Definitions to add Sewage Treatment System, Public; and Chapter 10 Agricultural District to add #17 Waste Water Ponds – Public Sewage Treatment System to Subdivision 3, Conditional Uses; at which time public testimony was taken; and was then approved by the Martin County Planning Commission and recommended for approval by the Martin County Board of Commissioners; and having submitted the proposed amendments to the Martin County Board of Commissioners for consideration; and after careful review and consideration, hereby approves and adopts the amendments to the Martin County Zoning Ordinance Chapter 3 Definitions to add Sewage Treatment System, Public; and Chapter 10
Agricultural District to add #17 Waste Water Ponds – Public Sewage Treatment System to Subdivision 3, Conditional Uses as proposed. Carried unanimously.

Lenny Tvedten, Martin County Historical Society, was present to formally request the 2013 budget allocation request in the amount of $20,000; and to request a joint meeting with the County Board.

Motion by Commissioner Pierce, seconded by Commissioner Potter, Be It Resolved that the Martin County Board of Commissioners, hereby Receive and File the CY2013 Martin County Historical Society Budget Allocation request in the amount of $20,000; and approve setting a joint meeting date and time. Carried unanimously.

Kevin Peyman, Martin County Highway Engineer, presented a summary sheet from the Friday, June 15, 2012 bid opening for consideration of award of contract C.P. 12:10 Supplying Bituminous Material for Sealcoat. Peyman stated there were three bidders this year for the approximate forty-five (45) to forty-eight (48) miles involved in the sealcoating project. New bidder, H.G. Meigs LLC out of Portage, Wisconsin, has bid the 435 tons at $572.32/ton which is up 4.3% from last year. Peyman noted H.G. Meigs will also be supplying Faribault County’s sealcoat oil. After review of all bids received,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vendor Number</th>
<th>Business Name</th>
<th>Total Bid Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1340</td>
<td>H.G. Meigs LLC</td>
<td>$248,959.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>561</td>
<td>Flint Hills Resources</td>
<td>$249,046.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>925</td>
<td>Jebro Inc.</td>
<td>$277,747.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Motion by Commissioner Pierce, seconded by Commissioner Belgard, Be It Resolved that the Martin County Board of Commissioners, after the appropriate advertisement for bids; and upon receiving bids for C.P. 12:10, and upon the recommendation of the County Engineer, hereby approve and award the low bid for Supplying Bituminous Material for Sealcoating to H.G. Meigs LLC of Portage, Wisconsin in the amount of $248,959.20; and to authorize the Board Chair and appropriate staff (County Engineer, County Auditor/Treasurer, County Attorney) to sign and execute the necessary documents for the listed project. Carried unanimously.

Peyman presented a brief Highway Department Update stating that Phase I of the CSAH 39 project has begun and when this phase is completed the remaining two phases will begin after the Martin County Fair.

Higgins presented Sheriff’s Office recommendations for Step and Paid Time Off (PTO) increases based on satisfactory performance evaluation and according to Union Contracts for the following Martin County Sheriff’s Office personnel. Step Increase for Steve Moller, Corrections Officer, and Cory Ballard, Deputy Sheriff; and PTO Increase for Matthew Klages, Sergeant, and Diane Obray, Communications Officer.

Motion by Commissioner Pierce, seconded by Commissioner Schmidtke, Be It Resolved that the Martin County Board of Commissioners, upon the recommendation of Jeff
Markquart, Martin County Sheriff, hereby approve and authorize Step and PTO increases based on satisfactory performance evaluation and according to Union Contracts for the following Martin County Sheriff’s Office personnel: Step Increases for: Steve Moller, Corrections Officer, from Step 7 at $22.91/hour to Step 8 at $23.35/hour effective June 15, 2012; and Cory Ballard, Deputy Sheriff, from Step 7 at $25.31/hour to Step 8 at $25.68/hour effective July 6, 2012; and Paid Time Off (PTO) Increases for: Matthew Klages, Sergeant, 15 Year Anniversary, PTO rate changes from 13-15 year rate at 16 hpm to the 16-19 year rate at 19.34 hpm effective June 23, 2012; and Diane Obray, Communications Officer, 9 Year Anniversary, PTO rate changes from 8-9 year rate at 14 hpm to the 10-12 year rate of 14.67 hpm effective July 1, 2012; contingent upon payroll records, in which payroll records will govern. Carried unanimously.

Jeff Markquart, Martin County Sheriff, and Corey Klanderud, Chief Deputy, presented final numbers for the ARMER 800 MHz equipment needs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equipment</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regional Logger</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Logger</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulcast Paging</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pagers</td>
<td>$31,008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispatch Desktops</td>
<td>$252,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Radios</td>
<td>$331,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portable Radios</td>
<td>$503,860.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desktop Radios</td>
<td>$49,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radio Programming</td>
<td>$41,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connectivity</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backup</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingence</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Installation</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Total</strong></td>
<td>$1,579,468.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regional Console
- Grant             $127,000.00
- E-911 Fund        $350,000.00

**Need Total** $1,102,468.69

Markquart and Klanderud stated after paring down the ARMER Project equipment list as much as possible, the cost of the equipment is still $1.1 million; and time is running out as there is a price change coming through Motorola where the 2500 series radios will increase by $400 per radio.

Markquart and Klanderud reviewed the equipment needs cost sheet as well as subsequent pages outlining equipment details, the bulk of which is mobile radios and portable radios for all fire departments, ambulance, and law enforcement organizations in Martin County. There is also a breakdown where each county shop will get portable radios and radios allocated for the City of Fairmont, public utilities, and for each municipality in the County. It will take several weeks to get the radios, program them and begin testing.
Discussion ensued regarding payment options of paying cash out of reserves for the equipment without having to issue bonds so the County could still apply for grants and if necessary borrow for the project at a later date and get reimbursed.

Commissioner Pierce stated we are not required to pay until it arrives, is installed and operating. Why burden the taxpayers when we don’t need to. I don’t want to burden the taxpayers with debt.

Commissioner Schmidtke agreed but was still cautious of what it would mean down the road, and stated we also need to think of the budget and how much we will end up needing to levy.

Chairman Donnelly stated we can order but we’re not writing the check yet.

After further discussion,

Motion by Commissioner Pierce, seconded by Commissioner Belgard, Be It Resolved that the Martin County Board of Commissioners, hereby approve and authorize the Martin County Sheriff’s Office to move forward with the purchase of ARMER 800 MHz required radio equipment needs; contingent upon county attorney opinion. Carried unanimously.

Chairman Donnelly opened the public hearing for the Final Viewer’s Report for the Redetermination of Benefits of JD #39. Those present were Potter, Schmidtke, Donnelly, Pierce, and Belgard. Also present were Deb Mosloski, Martin County Drainage Specialist, Mike Forstner, Martin County Ditch Inspector/Technician, Ron Ringquist, Viewer, Jim Weidemann, Viewer, Charles Bowers, Viewer, and members of the public and landowners from part of the Drainage System including Bruce Krahmer, Jim Keithan, Kurt Kuehl, and Mike Swanson.

Deb Mosloski, Martin County Drainage Specialist, stated Viewers were appointed to redetermine the benefits and damages and benefited areas and separation of JD #39. The Viewers have made and filed their report in the office of the Martin County Auditor/Treasurer. The system drains all or part of the following townships in: Martin County – Galena Township (T104N-R32W), Sections 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28 and 36 and Waverly Township (T104N-R31W), Sections 30 and 31.

Ron Ringquist, Viewer, stated the purpose of this hearing is two-fold. One is the redetermination of benefits of the original and subsequently improved JD #39; and (reviewing map) JD #39 is made up of tile and open ditch which the improvements include thee main and Branch R. Originally the system was all tile. The west main branch which is a pair of tiles running parallel and then subsequently this is Branch O on the south which once again was one tile originally and it was supplemented with a second tile.
Rinquist went on to state that the concern was when we did the redetermination does this design meet NRCS design standards and therefore we found that the benefit to these properties was as high as it needed or would ever be, other than value changes, the ditch system serves a full purpose and meets the NRCS guidelines range. Even with the two parallel lines in the upper reaches they don’t meet NRCS design capacity. They’re close but they don’t quite do that. So we discounted the benefits in the upper two tile areas to leave some more money out there so that if necessary if one of those tiles needs to be fixed, you could have some money to put in a bigger one if you need to. That’s why we looked at that. But what happens in the meantime is the people down here were paying more per acre than the people up here for repairs. We felt that may not be the best way to distribute the costs of maintenance in this system until such time you do or don’t do improvements on this upper end. So it appeared to us that the best way to administer the system would be to separate it into three separate individual ditch systems. So that’s what we did that. However, Deb said I goofed up. We split those parcels. There’s now a third line that runs between all of these systems that separates this watershed from this watershed from the Branch O watershed. So there were three separate watersheds that will now become three separate ditch systems. And in doing that, I split the acres of those 40’s (acres) that were split; but if it was on this 40 (acres) I forgot it and put it in both reports because it wasn’t split; but it ended up in both reports because part went north and part went south. And in doing it appeared that people got benefits into both the north branch and the south branch out of that forty and it should have only been in the north branch, because we shouldn’t have increased the benefits that the system provides and it looked like it did. So what we have done is now corrected. Those oversights and one minor mathematical error. So the bills don’t add up exactly the same as the original report. But we did find one error. On the very south end of Mr. Krusemark’s property was tiled south and that was discussed at the original hearing when we did Ditch JD #109 as well, and so his property isn’t on this report but it is on the JD #109 report now because that’s where he drains his. So we intentionally removed that property now the totals of these three individual reports add up to the same exact number as the original benefits except one thing. And the one thing is that we have applied an outlet benefit to the top two systems to help pay for their share of the water going through the lower system. We did that and calculated on the share of contribution and the value that we felt was the share of increased contribution.

Ringquist continued when you do an outlet fee of one ditch system into another system, whether this tile off in the upper watershed was even constructed or not the natural runoff from this upper watershed always went to the lower watershed. So if there was no ditch on the west end and no public ditch no tile, it was still native grasses. The runoff from this watershed would still have to be accommodated by this ditch. The difference between the natural condition and the conditions that exist today with the county ditch system in place, and all of the land being farmed instead of native grasses, has increased the amount of water that goes into the lower ditch so the ditch has to be bigger or it loses part of its benefit. So we calculated the runoff characteristics and the value of the increased runoff contribution to this lower system. They used the triple tile lines and the open ditch down to its outlet and this increase constituted about 30% of that value. But, we lowered it to only half and the reason it was only half of that 30% is because they’re
paying a benefit for this system that exists and because we made this system as a whole, which includes all of this entire open ditch, they are responsible for 15% of any work done in this lower system including the tile repair so it’s not 30% of just the open ditch it’s 15% of the system as a whole. So that’s how we came up with that number. It worked out at just under $500,000. Then I split it between the north branch and the south branch. So the open ditch portion of this three ditch system has an outlet benefit that’s assessed against the north ditch of $325,000; the south ditch because it is a smaller watershed is $175,000. That constitutes about 15% of the total benefit of this outlet ditch system. So when we corrected it our reports for the Krusemark and the mathematical errors, we had the total original benefit of this ditch system as $6,683,771.61. The current benefit of the three systems combined is $500,000 more than that, because this system provides benefit to these systems. It is not an individual benefit. So I guess don’t believe the numbers in your report because I goofed them up. Most of them are right; but there are a few that aren’t. If your benefits went up they should not have. They should have been adding both parts together. The numbers should have been the same as the original report unless you happened to be the one or two people that had corrections.

Landowners inquired about the following: will there be a new redetermination; if Branch R stays the same; what areas are different; what is the assessment for; what needs maintenance; will we receive payment for the one-rod strip; and is there money in the County ditch fund.

Ringquist, Weidemann, Bowers, and Mosloski responded: it is recommended to continue this hearing to date certain and corrected copies of the Viewer’s Report will be mailed; Branch R is part of the lower system so we combined the original main and Branch R because they are both open ditches that are up to design standards hydrologically. I missed one of the commercial numbers where it was a hog building and I forgot to write it down and didn’t put numbers in it. I forgot to put the efficiency rate so it calculated zero and the way the spreadsheet is set up, any time I changed a net benefit everybody’s cost changed by a penny or two, and then one of the splits was added one to the “D” column and it should have been in the “C” column so we fixed that; the redetermination is to redistribute the costs of all future maintenance. It includes the acquisition and establishment of the buffer strip, the cost of doing the redetermination, and all future maintenance until another redetermination is done. So the purpose of this isn’t to change anything that’s out there, only to make a new combined fair and equitable distribution of maintenance costs; it is not known what may need maintenance in the future but one of the tiles is 100 years old and will eventually need maintenance; within the reports there are seeding area damages that are part of the cost of the maintenance of the ditch system. There isn’t any state share that helps pay for the one-rod strip. It becomes a maintenance cost and is paid for by the people that benefit from the ditch. There is civil enforcement and the landowners have the right to mow and bale the grass buffer; there are not sufficient funds in the ditch fund at this time to pay for repairs as there is no way to reimburse that when you do these partial improvements. Technically what happens is that you’re trying to build up funds based on 1920 values. For example the original system for all of JD #39 was valued at $36,772. One repair is going to wipe that out so it is hard for the Commissioners to keep building up any of these funds when everything is
based on one hundred year old values. Every September the Ditch Authority reviews each ditch and levies against that ditch for anticipated repairs based on the projected balance for each ditch system. This then is added to your taxes to rebuild the fund.

Ringquist recommended continuing this hearing for review of the corrected Final Viewer’s Report.

Motion by Commissioner Potter, seconded by Commissioner Pierce, Be It Resolved that the Martin County Board of Commissioners, acting as the Drainage Authority for Martin County, hereby set a Continuation Hearing date of July 17, 2012 at 9:45 a.m. in the Commissioners Board Room to hear the corrected Final Viewers Report for the Redetermination of Benefits for JD #39. Carried unanimously.

Mike Forstner, Drainage Inspector/Technician for Martin County, stated a Petition for Repair/Cleaning on JD #15 M&J has been received from Tom Davis at an estimated cost of $180/foot for $55,531.00. There needs to be a Joint Authority meeting with Jackson County and there is a need for three Martin County Board members to be appointed to the Joint Authority Board for JD #15 M&J. A tentative date and time of August 7th, 2012 at 2:00 p.m. will be requested. After discussion,

Motion by Commissioner Schmidtke, seconded by Commissioner Belgard, Be It Resolved that the Martin County Board of Commissioners, acting as the Drainage Authority for Martin County, hereby approve the appointment of Commissioners Belgard, Potter, and Schmidtke to attend a Joint Ditch meeting for Order for Petition for Repair/Cleaning on JD #15 M&J. Carried unanimously.

Jessica Korte, Accountant/A-T Office, was present to review the County’s current general fund cash balances.

Higgins presented a request for approval for the purchase of a Class 400 transit bus for the Martin County Transit public transportation system. Higgins stated the County has been approved by Mn/DOT for the purchase of a replacement bus for 2012 and that the purchase amount is shared 80% (Mn/DOT) and 20% (local share). Higgins reviewed gas vs. diesel engine pros and cons and stated the approved Mn/DOT Contract amount is up to $66,000.00 for a gas engine and up to $72,000 for a diesel engine. After discussion,

Motion by Commissioner Pierce, seconded by Commissioner Schmidtke,

R-#30/’12

RESOLUTION

Authorizing the Procurement of One Replacement Transit Bus for CY2012 and Selecting North Central Bus Sales as Specified Vendor

WHEREAS, the County of Martin, MN operates a transit system; and
WHEREAS, the County of Martin, MN desires to purchase, through the State of Minnesota Cooperative Procurement Process, a vehicle to be used in the transit system; and

WHEREAS, the vehicle cost is allocated 20% local share and 80% State/Federal share of the “contract amount”; and

WHEREAS, County of Martin, MN staff has reviewed the vehicle options offered by approved multiple contracting vendors; and

WHEREAS, the staff recommends purchasing a vehicle from North Central Bus Sales, for the reason of the low cost, fleet consistency, and past vendor performance; and

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Martin County Board of Commissioners of the County of Martin, MN hereby authorizes the purchase of a new transit bus from North Central Bus Sales of Minneapolis, MN in the approximate amount of $71,006.00 or not to exceed $72,000.

Motion by Commissioner Pierce, seconded by Commissioner Schmidtke, Resolution was duly passed and adopted this 19th day of June, 2012.

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
MARTIN COUNTY, MN

______________________________
Steve Donnelly, Board Chair

ATTEST:______________________________
Scott Higgins, County Coordinator

Roll Call AYES: Commissioners Pierce, Belgard, Potter, Schmidtke, and Donnelly. NAYS: None. Resolution duly passed and adopted this 19th day of June, 2012.

The Board reviewed CY2013 budget requests received from the Small Business Development Center (SBDC), Southern Minnesota Initiative Foundation, Rural Minnesota Energy Board Annual Dues, Three Rivers RC&D Annual Dues, and Martin Soil and Water Conservation District.

Motion by Commissioner Pierce, seconded by Commissioner Belgard, Be It Resolved that the Martin County Board of Commissioners, hereby receive and file the CY2013 Budget Allocation Requests received from the Small Business Development Center (SBDC) in the amount of $2,000; Southern Minnesota Initiative Foundation in the amount of $4,000; Rural Minnesota Energy Board Annual Dues in the amount of $2,000; Three Rivers RC&D Annual Dues in the amount of $650; and Martin Soil and Water Conservation District in the amount of $86,986. Carried unanimously.
Higgins presented a list of surplus property to be disposed of at the City of Fairmont property auction to be held June 21, 2012 beginning at 5:00 p.m. at the new city Shop.

Motion by Commissioner Belgard, seconded by Commissioner Potter, Be It Resolved that the Martin County Board of Commissioners, hereby approve the disposal of county surplus property items as presented, to be sold by auction at the City of Fairmont Property Auction on June 21, 2012. Carried unanimously.

Higgins presented an out of state travel request from the Assessor’s Office for two staff to attend the Schneider (Beacon) User Group meeting to be held in Ames, Iowa on June 26-27, 2012. Higgins stated that Dan Whitman and Jeanne Runge will be attending the conference and that the estimated expenses for two staff are estimated at $540.

Motion by Commissioner Belgard, seconded by Commissioner Schmidtke, Be It Resolved that the Martin County Board of Commissioners, hereby approve and authorize the out of state travel request for Dan Whitman and Jeanne Runge to attend the Schneider (Beacon) User Group meeting to be held on June 26-27, 2012 in Ames, Iowa at an estimated cost of $540 which includes two nights lodging at $360 ($90/day), and meals at an approximate cost of $180 for a total amount of $540. Carried unanimously.

Higgins presented a listing of warrants, Highway Department and Ditch Administration bills to be paid on June 19, 2012; and requested the addition and authorization of a $50,000 expenditure to Minnesota Valley Action Council (MVAC) for the 2012 Martin County Work Experience Program.

Motion by Commissioner Pierce, seconded by Commissioner Potter, Be It Resolved that the Martin County Board of Commissioners, hereby approve payment of claims for the month of June, 2012 with the addition of a $50,000 expenditure to Minnesota Valley Action Council (MVAC) for the 2012 Martin County Work Experience Program; and includes the Martin County Highway Department and Ditch Administration Office as presented. Carried unanimously.

Warrants received and paid June 19, 2012 are registered on file in the Auditor/Treasurer’s Office as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenue Fund – Warrants Approved June 19, 2012</td>
<td>$244,413.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced 9-1-1 Fund</td>
<td>$ 62,903.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation and Safety Fund</td>
<td>$ 4,779.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin Co. Economic Development Authority</td>
<td>$ 530.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste Management Fund</td>
<td>$ 34,389.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Library Fund</td>
<td>$ 1,198.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin County Transit Fund</td>
<td>$ 44,134.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recorder’s Compliance Fund</td>
<td>$ 2,115.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building – CIP – Fund</td>
<td>$ 3,945.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank Building Fund</td>
<td>$ 3,422.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$401,833.33</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Road and Bridge Funds Toted $ 90,220.81
Martin County Ditch Fund – Warrants Toted $103,381.41

The Board reviewed the meeting summary for the June 6, 2012 South Central Service Cooperative Directors meeting; and notification of the 2012 Small Cities Development Grant Award.

Commissioners presented their Board member reports.

Commissioner Belgard stated he attended a Ditch Redetermination meeting with Mike Forstner, Kurt Deter, and Ron Ringquist in Mankato, MN and viewed part of a ditch system in Mapleton, MN on June 8th.

Commissioner Pierce stated he had nothing special to report.

Commissioner Schmidtke stated he attended an Extension Committee meeting on June 12th; Human Services in Fairmont; and Prairieland meeting.

Commissioner Potter stated he attended Human Services Executive Board meeting on Wednesday, June 6th; Prairieland meeting; and Fairmont EDA meeting at the Holiday Inn on June 18th.

Commissioner Donnelly stated he had nothing new to add.

Commissioners reviewed their calendars of upcoming meetings and activities: June 19th – County Board of Equalization meeting at 6:30 p.m.; June 20th – Human Services in Blue Earth, MN; June 21st – City Auction at 5:00 p.m.; June 24th – Sherburn Holiday Festival Parade and Fly-in Breakfast at the Fairmont airport; June 25th – Charlotte Lake Project Site Open House & Lunch and Trimont City Center meeting at 6:30 p.m.; June 26th – Insurance Committee meeting at 11:00 a.m., House of Hope survey, and Planning & Zoning at 5:30 p.m.; June 28th – County Water Plan meeting at Martin Soil and Water Conservation District at 7:00 p.m.; July 3rd – regular Board of Commissioners meeting at 9:00 a.m.; July 4th – 4th of July Observance – Courthouse Closed.

With no further business to wit, Board Chair adjourned the meeting at 6:24 p.m.

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
MARTIN COUNTY, MN

______________________________
Steve Donnelly, Board Chair

ATTEST:______________________________
Scott Higgins, County Coordinator