

PROCEEDINGS OF THE  
MARTIN COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS  
TUESDAY, JUNE 19, 2018  
@ 4:30 P.M.

The regular meeting of the Martin County Board of Commissioners was called to order at 4:30 p.m. by Chairman Mahoney. Commissioners present were Smith, Mahoney, Belgard, Flohrs, and Schmidtke. Also present were Scott Higgins, Martin County Coordinator, Terry Viesselman, County Attorney, Kevin Peyman, County Engineer, Brooke Wohlrabe, Sentinel Newspaper, Julie Walters, Administrative Assistant, and members of staff and public.

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

Motion by Commissioner Belgard, seconded by Commissioner Flohrs, Be It Resolved that the Martin County Board of Commissioners, hereby approve the agenda for the June 19, 2018, regular Board of Commissioners meeting. Carried unanimously.

Motion by Commissioner Schmidtke, seconded by Commissioner Smith, Be It Resolved that the Martin County Board of Commissioners, hereby approve the minutes of the June 5, 2018, regular Board of Commissioners meeting. Carried unanimously.

Tammie Hested, Vocational Advisor with Minnesota Valley Action Council (MVAC), along with Martin County Summer Youth Intern Program participants were present to introduce themselves to the commissioners and to state what business they are interning with in Martin County over the summer.

Marissa Naab	-	MVAC, Fairmont Office
Natalie Shimon	-	Euonia Family Resource Center
Madisyn Plumhoff	-	Euonia Family Resource Center
Hannah Johanson	-	Carlson Dental
Whitney Smith	-	Physical Therapy Department at Mayo Health Systems Fairmont
Lindsey Miles	-	Physical Therapy Department at Center for Specialty Care
Jenna Pavich	-	Physical Therapy Office at Center for Specialty Care
Mikayla Soelter	-	OR at Center for Specialty Care
Tyler Tennyson	-	Kahler Automation
Tyler Abel	-	Sweet Financial
Mason Steuber	-	Fairmont Chamber of Commerce
Brian Jensen	-	3M
Parker Leiding	-	Mayo Health Systems Fairmont Rehab Center

Hested introduced Jason Subbert who not only has had an intern at his workplace but is also a parent of an intern in the program. Subbert noted the importance of and stressed the need of the Martin County Summer Youth Intern Program in an effort to get our youth to come back to the community after completing their post-secondary education.

Lenny Tvedten and Loren Dunham, Martin County Historical Society Board, were present to thank the Board for the continued support and to formally request the CY2019 Budget Allocation for the Martin County Historical Society. Tvedten reviewed various services the Martin County Historical Society Board provides including inviting all the schools in the County to tour the Museum and learn about Martin County, and the ongoing Veterans Programs and PowerPoint presentations. Tvedten briefly updated the Board regarding the receipt of a fairly significant bequest with 90% of the bequest put into the Martin County Historical Society's endowment with the balance to be used for some needed improvements and updates. Tvedten presented his request for the County to maintain the Martin County Historical Society's current appropriation in an effort to continue doing what they do in preserving and promoting Martin County's history.

Motion by Commissioner Smith, seconded by Commissioner Flohrs, Be It Resolved that the Martin County Board of Commissioners, hereby receive and file the CY2019 Martin County Historical Society budget allocation request in the amount of \$20,000. Carried unanimously.

Terry Viesselman, County Attorney, was present to recommend the hire of Celia Simpson as an additional Temporary Summer Office Assistant for the Martin County Attorney's Office. Viesselman noted this second hire will continue the office scanning project once the current assistant heads back to college.

Motion by Commissioner Schmidtke, seconded by Commissioner Belgard, Be It Resolved that the Martin County Board of Commissioners, hereby approve and authorize the hire of Celia Simpson as Temporary Summer Office Assistant for the Martin County Attorney's Office effective, June 21, 2018, at \$12.00/hour; not eligible for benefits; and is contingent upon satisfactory background and reference checks. Carried unanimously.

Higgins presented and reviewed a new liquor license/transfer of an On Sale Liquor License, 3.2% Liquor License, or Sunday Liquor License, and application for Consumption and Display (Set-Up) Permit for Ghost Town Tavern LLC.

Motion by Commissioner Flohrs, seconded by Commissioner Smith, Be It Resolved that the Martin County Board of Commissioners, hereby approve and authorize new liquor license/transfer of an On Sale Liquor License, 3.2% Liquor License, or Sunday Liquor License, effective July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2019; and approve and authorize application for Consumption and Display (Set-Up) Permit, effective July 1, 2018 through March 31, 2019, for Ghost Town Tavern LLC; and are contingent upon receipt of appropriate documentation and fees. Carried unanimously.

Chair Mahoney noted the County is looking for citizens to serve on the Martin County EDA Commission and the Martin County Parks/Trail Committee.

No action taken on citizen appointment(s) to the Martin County EDA Commission or the Martin County Parks/Trail Committee.

Kevin Peyman, County Engineer, noted that Bid Letting for C.P. 18:08 Maintenance Gravel Production (Rose Lake Pit) was held on Friday, June 15, 2018, at 10:00 a.m. at the Martin

County Highway Department – Fairmont, MN, and that seven (7) bids were received. Peyman recommends award to Knopik Crushing, Inc. in the amount of \$2.35/ton (70,000 tons) at \$164,500.00.

Martin County Highway Department  
Contract Bid Summary

Contract No.: 1808

Project No.: C.P. 18:08

Bid Opening: 06/15/2018 10:00 a.m.

Vendor Number	Business Name	Total Bid Amount
1035	Knopik Crushing Inc.	\$164,500.00
1742	Reding's Gravel & Excavating Co., Inc.	\$185,500.00
0	Ferguson Brothers Excavating, Inc.	\$214,900.00
709	Hard Rock Screening, LLC	\$215,600.00
0	R & E Enterprises	\$250,600.00
0	Wm. D. Scepaniak, Inc.	\$251,300.00
918	Johnson Crushing, Inc.	\$262,500.00

Motion by Commissioner Schmidtke, seconded by Commissioner Flohrs, Be It Resolved that the Martin County Board of Commissioners, after the appropriate advertisement for bids, and upon receiving bids for C.P. 18:08, and upon the recommendation of the County Engineer, hereby approve and award the low bid for Maintenance Gravel Production project to Knopik Crushing Inc. of Inver Grove Heights, MN, in the amount of \$164,500.00 (\$2.35/ton); and to authorize the Board Chair and appropriate staff (County Engineer, County Auditor/Treasurer, County Attorney) to sign and execute the necessary documents for the listed project. Carried unanimously.

Higgins noted as you are aware the County acquired the Martin County Veterans Memorial Park which was donated to us by the Martin County Veterans Memorial Committee and that currently Veterans Memorial Committee members are mowing the lot. Higgins went on to note that since the County owns the property the Veterans Memorial Committee is looking to have the County start mowing. Higgins also noted Kevin Peyman has been contacted on the possibility of the Highway Department taking over the mowing; however, the concern of the Highway Department was it does not have the time or equipment, but would need to look at what they could do. Higgins further stated that Sentencing to Service (STS) has also been contacted and they indicated they don't have the proper equipment to mow for the size of the lot. The Building Committee has discussed mowing options and their recommendation is for the County to take over the mowing.

Chair Mahoney stated he thought there was an agreement with the City of Fairmont to mow the property as they currently mow the ball diamonds adjacent to the Memorial Park.

Commissioner Smith stated that now that the County owns the property and is liable, the County should mow the property.

Chair Mahoney stated that when the Committee first came to the Board it was conveyed that the County wouldn't have any responsibilities at the property until half a million dollars was raised.

Kevin Peyman noted he met Terry Anderson (Martin County Veterans Memorial Committee member) and Troy Nemmers (City of Fairmont) at the property on Monday and that currently someone (unknown) is mowing the property including mowing around approximately 50 trees on the back of the lot and around light and flag poles making for a very slow mow. Peyman also noted that it would be so much easier if the City of Fairmont could do the mowing. Our Parks mower person is responsible for over 200 acres and to add this lot wouldn't really be workable. I could send our Building Maintenance person to mow; however, he would have to drive our mower over there. And having to mow around each one of those trees could be a half day job. Peyman went on to note if we can work with the Veterans Memorial group to either put rock around the trees and poles so we can mow up to them and not around them or leaving the tree area un-mowed we could mow the whole front half of the property until it has been decided that the City of Fairmont takes it over.

After further discussion,

Motion by Commissioner Belgard, seconded by Commissioner Smith, Be It Resolved that the Martin County Board of Commissioners, hereby approve and authorize the Martin County Highway Department to work with the Martin County Veterans Memorial Committee to integrate an easy mow at the Martin County Veterans Memorial Park and that the Highway Department is assigned to mow the lot unless the City of Fairmont is willing to take on the responsibility to mow the lot. Those voting in favor: Commissioners Schmidtke, Smith, Belgard, and Flohrs. Those voting against: Commissioner Mahoney. Motion carries.

Higgins noted the Building Committee has reviewed and is recommending the installation of a ceiling fan in the Commissioners Meeting Room to improve air circulation. Higgins went on to note the fan would be a low profile, 5 blade 52" ceiling fan with a 3 speed control switch. Higgins also noted the estimated cost for materials and labor is \$842.00.

After discussion,

Motion by Commissioner Schmidtke, seconded by Commissioner Flohrs, Be It Resolved that the Martin County Board of Commissioners, upon the recommendation of the Building and Purchasing Committee, and to improve air circulation in the Commissioners meeting room, hereby approve the installation of a ceiling fan in the estimated amount of \$842.00. Carried unanimously.

Higgins noted as the Board is aware, ISG Architects and Engineers provided the County with a concept/pre-design of a proposed garage storage facility and probable cost for the construction of a 10,800 square foot facility, along with a 2,400 square foot storage mezzanine. Higgins went on to note after further review of the preliminary design and estimated cost done by a local contractor for similar size building with a few adjustments to the facility, the Building and Purchasing Committee believed the facility to be feasible and is recommending approval to move the Project to the next phase for Design and Specifications and bidding, which will require

engaging an architectural firm. Higgins also noted the information the Committee reviewed with a local contractor (concept design and estimated cost) needed revisions including:

- Adding 20 ft. back on to facility (including Highway side)
- Sprinkling system to be included
- Needed to include boiler for heating source
- Highway side did not include heat – needs to be added back in proposal
- Proposed 29 gauge steel; but, requested to use 26 gauge steel
- Does not include site work, gravel, and utilities to site.

Higgins noted other reductions (from the ISG proposal) include:

- Does not include storage mezzanine (2,400 sq. ft.)
- Proposed wood truss versus metal structure building
- Courthouse storage – side walls lowered height of building to 12 ft. (lowers height of garage doors)
- Highway side walls is 18 ft.

After discussion,

Motion by Commissioner Smith, seconded by Commissioner Belgard, Be It Resolved that the Martin County Board of Commissioners, upon the recommendation of the Martin County Building and Purchasing Committee, hereby approve obtaining quotes from architectural firm for the design and specifications for bids for the proposed garage storage facility, including Highway Department equipment storage. Carried unanimously.

Commissioner Belgard inquired the current schedule for the County Road 26 Project.

Peyman noted there has been no change and that work won't begin before the 4<sup>th</sup> of July.

Higgins presented and reviewed rent increase addendums for the City/County Law Enforcement Center Lease Agreement, effective January 1, 2019; and the Human Services of Faribault & Martin Counties Lease Agreement, effective January 1, 2019. Higgins noted the Building Committee has reviewed this and recommends a three percent (3%) increase to the amount of rent being received for 2019 leased office space by the City of Fairmont Police Department in the Security Building; and Faribault & Martin County Human Services in the Human Resource Building. The increase in the lease amount is due to increased building and maintenance costs.

After discussion,

Motion by Commissioner Flohrs, seconded by Commissioner Smith, Be It Resolved that the Martin County Board of Commissioners, hereby approve and authorize a three percent (3%) increase in lease amount for county office space being leased by the City of Fairmont (Police Department) that includes office space in the Martin County Security Building from \$49,623.00 to \$51,036.57 (annually); and to increase the lease amount for Faribault & Martin County Human Services from \$189,160.00 to \$194,839.10 (annually) that includes office space in the

Human Resource Building; and due to increased cost in building maintenance and energy costs; both effective January 1, 2019. Carried unanimously.

Higgins noted Law Enforcement Labor Services (LELS #136) has submitted a Step 2 Grievance, which is meeting with Board to review and take action on the Grievance. The Martin County Sheriff has denied the Grievance at Step 1, based on it was grievant's choice to use sick leave, which per the contract requires a doctor's note; and that Articles 17, 18, and 29 as noted in the Grievance is not applicable, which pertains to compensation to employee for time spent to get the doctor's note. The Union has requested to remedy the matter with the grievant to receive compensation for going to the doctor's office to get the note, and to receive reimbursement for the office visit. Higgins went on to note procedurally, the Union will present the Grievance and provide oral arguments and possibly other supporting evidence as to why the Grievance should be upheld. The Board is free to ask questions of the Union pertaining to the Grievance, discuss the Grievance, and take action to uphold or deny the Grievance, or provide some other resolution to the Grievance.

Renee Zachman, Business Agent Law Enforcement Labor Services representing Deputies and Sergeants, was present to note that she was here today for a Step 2 Grievance meeting in accordance with Article 9 of the Labor Agreement.

Zachman reviewed the acts leading up to the initiation of the grievance noting this grievance was filed after Sergeant Matt Klages used four days of Paid Time Off (PTO) in order to take leave for medical illness. Those dates specifically were March 5, 6, 7 and 8, 2018. About March 7, Sergeant Klages received a text message from Chief Deputy Klanderud and in that text message he was directed to obtain a doctor's note for the use of PTO to verify his medical illness. I do want to say straight up the fact that we acknowledge that the employer does have the authority to require employees to obtain medical notes. Particularly if there is a suspicion of sick leave abuse or anything of that nature.

Commissioner Belgard noted this right here...we don't require anything on PTO, right. That's why we have PTO so why would we require...

Higgins noted the employee wanted to use the Sick Leave Bank.

Zachman noted as I understand it Klages used three days of PTO and then the contract thereafter requires employees to use an extended sick leave bank.

Higgins noted it gives them that option; we also have a 12 day bank that they can access rather than using the PTO, but requires a Doctor's note to access the benefit.

Zachman went on to note so that occurred and Klages was asked to obtain a medical note and he did. He went to the doctor's office and made an appointment and visited with the physician and obtained a note. He did this outside of his regularly scheduled work hours. His regularly scheduled work hours are from approximately 4:00 p.m. to 2:00 a.m. He works a 10 hour shift overnight. He put in for compensation for the time spent outside of his regularly scheduled shift obtaining this note. And, that request was denied. So he did not receive compensation.

Zachman also noted the price of the visit of course is not free to visit the doctor, we all know this. So he was billed for that visit and I believe he was billed in the amount of \$114.00. I view this issue is a pretty straight forward cut and dried contractual violation. In Article 17 of the contract we've got some language that defines what constitutes hours of work and employer mandated functions are included in the definition of hours of work. So if we look at the terms mandated and function just a straight forward dictionary definition of those terms mandate means to instruct, order, direct, command, or require; and a function is an activity, purpose, or action. So anytime the employer commands, directs, or requires an employee to partake in an activity or an action, that constitutes a mandated function which by definition pursuant to the contract is considered hours worked. And we know that hours worked outside of the regularly scheduled shift must be compensated at time and one-half the regular hourly rate. So that's what we're seeking here is for Klages to be compensated for the 2 hours spent obtaining a physician's note outside of his regularly scheduled shift. We also requested as a remedy that he be reimbursed for the cost of the medical visit.

Zachman noted you know this particular component of the grievance kind of comes down to a checks and balances issue. On the one hand the employer does have the authority to direct employees and they have the authority to direct employees to obtain a medical note. On the other hand there's a cost associated with that. So what I'm worried about is the potential for abuse and I'm not saying that occurred here but if we think about this every time an employee is sick and a supervisor maybe with a chip on their shoulder could say alright you've got to go obtain a note and every time they visit the doctor they incur a cost. That has the potential to be abuse. So we do want to recognize that and we do want to have checks and balances in place.

Zachman continued employers should really only be requiring doctor's notes if they reasonably suspect that the sick leave or PTO policy is being abused. If not we've kind of got to use this honor system and certainly there are occasions where employees do abuse sick leave and we see all the time employees that for example if they work a Monday through Friday schedule and they take every Monday off as sick for three months straight that is abuse. That is not the case here. That's what I've got for you. I do think this is a clear, cut and dried contractual violation where we have language that defines working hours to include directives, mandated functions, from the employer and we want to make sure that's complied with.

Commissioner Belgard noted of course the obvious question here would be couldn't he just call the doctor and get the note? I'm asking because I don't know but it seems to me like you would just call a doctor and say I need a note. You don't have to make an office visit and take time off.

Zachman noted I think that would be the case if during the course of your leave you had visited the doctor you could make a phone call to confirm that you were at the doctor, that they saw you.

Commissioner Belgard noted there was no original office visit.

Zachman answered correct.

Commissioner Schmidtke noted Matt's been here a long time and he knows that in the policy it says that we require a doctor's note requesting time off other than PTO. So why wouldn't he have just done that to begin with.

Zachman noted the thing is there are a number of illnesses which I think most reasonable people would not seek medical care. The viral cold for example, the flu, stomach bug. Those are the types of illnesses that you just have to let ride out and you're not necessarily going to visit the doctor for those types of illnesses. So we all know that you can't plan when you get sick and so you aren't necessarily anticipating it. I understand that my employer can require a note. The practical reality of being sick is that you're generally not anticipating that.

Higgins noted it does in the contract that if you're using unscheduled time or sick leave the employer may require a doctor's note which is consistent across the board. You're referring to articles of the contract that you're missing our point about addressing those issues and we've acknowledged that so I don't know. Do you understand that they requested to use a benefit that requires that note? If the employee wants to access the sick leave bank, which is a benefit, we have a required doctor's note.

Zachman noted we're not challenging you at all. When you receive a directive from your employer and that directive is go obtain a note. And you said that benefit does not require a doctor's note it gives the employer the authority to choose to...that is not being contended. This is an hours of work issue.

Higgins inquired is this typical that somebody needs a doctor's note and they get paid do you have proof that they're getting paid to get a doctor's note.

Zachman noted yep it's defined as hours of work. So that's authorized leave time, training, regularly scheduled shifts, and employer mandated functions.

Higgins continued so if a person is sick for two weeks there's no obligation of the employer to not ask. They say I'm sick...and ask for the note and you're going to say that the employer pay for that and they pay for the doctor's visit. That's what you're stating.

Zachman noted yeah. If the employer requires and directs their employee to obtain a doctor's note that is hours spent working at the behest of the employer and it has to be paid.

Commissioner Schmidtke noted I wouldn't call that hours worked. We're requesting him to do something but that's not hours worked. We don't pay anybody to go sit at a doctor's office.

Zachman noted you may disagree with that philosophically but we have a negotiated collective bargaining agreement that anticipates payment for house hours. You are required to pay for hours worked and our contract defines hours worked as...

Commissioner Schmidtke noted he didn't work those hours...he was at a doctor's office.

Commissioner Flohrs noted yeah but you told him to go and get...you told him he had to go and get the note so...

Zachman noted I guess I would suggest reading Article 17 because it explicitly includes employer mandated functions and hours worked. We know that when an employee is directed or compelled or commanded to do something if they refuse to do that that's insubordination. And insubordination typically results in discipline. So, Scott, you're telling me that this was not a mandated direction to Mr. Klages? Then what I would advise the deputies to do the next time it is suggested to them that they obtain a note is that it really is just a suggestion and is not a directive and you don't need to comply unless you are willing to voluntarily comply. I mean either it's a directive or it's voluntary. It can't be both things.

Commissioner Belgard noted it's a directive that is required. To get into that bank to use that it is required.

Zachman noted under that provision the employer may require a doctor's note...may require.

Commissioner Belgard inquired so doesn't that mean that it's required?

Zachman answered it indicates the employer has the ability to request one if they so choose; but, not that they have to. Tell me what you think mandated functions means.

Higgins answered he was asked to provide the doctor's note because he wished to access the sick leave bank.

Zachman noted okay look at 20.4 and it says the employer may request a doctor's note. Not that the employee must furnish a doctor's note in order to access this benefit. When an employer issues a directive, Scott, then the employee must comply. Would you agree with that?

Higgins noted that's accessing benefits and they have to have the proper documentation for that and that's the only way you're going to get it.

Zachman noted the employer has the ability to require a doctor's note and if they're directing an employee to do that then they have to be accountable for that directive. We've presented the grievance. I've offered an explanation and justification for the grievance. There are two routes we can go from here. The employer can issue an answer to the grievance or the Union can appeal to the next step in the grievance procedure. I'm certainly open to discussion about a resolution to the grievance but if the County is not willing to resolve it then you would elect to appeal to the next step of the process.

Chair Mahoney inquired did you say that he could have gone voluntarily to the doctor to get his slip?

Zachman answered no. What I suggested is that you can either direct an employee to do something which was the case here or you can say Sergeant you know if you want to go get a doctor's note you can do that but it's voluntary and there's not going to be any (inaudible)

consequences if you don't. You can either be directed or asked to do something voluntarily. You can't to both. In this case he was directed to obtain a note.

Commissioner Schmidtke noted if he doesn't want to use that extended bank then he doesn't have to have a doctor's note...he can use PTO or go without pay. But he requested to go into that extended bank and that has always required a doctor's note.

Zachman noted absolutely...you require a doctor's note. That is not being contested at all. The issue at hand is whether the hours spent obtaining that note were hours of work and whether they must be compensated as if they were hours of work. That is the issue.

Higgins inquired if Sergeant Klages has anything to say.

Sergeant Klages was present and noted I had no intention of going to the doctor because I knew I just had a virus that I needed to get over. I knew there wasn't going to be any benefit for me to go there other than to get the slip that I was directed to get.

Clint Cole, Martin County Deputy Sheriff and Union Steward, was present and noted this winter time a lot of the influenza was going around obviously the doctors were saying you know there's nothing we can do for you...take a week out of work at least the minimal...do not spread this flu bug don't spread this virus. So to go to the doctor on top of it on the direction of the employer to incur the cost of expense to go get a doctor's note when that's what the doctors are telling you and from my experience with the doctor's office having three little kids asking for a doctor is yep come in and see us and we'll give you a note. Here's a \$150 to the May Clinic. Thank you very much.

Zachman noted I just want to find out one final observation if you will...most employees have the benefit of working daytime hours and so they could obtain a doctor's note during their regularly scheduled shift. That's not the case here because we've got our deputies that provide 24 hour coverage to the County and Sergeant Klages happens to work a night shift. So unfortunately for him in order for him to go in and obtain a note by virtue of his schedule that has to occur outside of the regularly scheduled shift.

Commissioner Smith inquired what are his hours again?

Zachman answered his hours are 4:00 p.m. to 2:00 a.m.

After further discussion,

Motion by Commissioner Schmidtke, seconded by Commissioner Belgard, Be It Resolved that the Martin County Board of Commissioners; a Step 2 Grievance was filed by LELS #136, which is to be heard and reviewed by the Board of Commissioners; and after careful consideration and based on the information received; that as a condition of using sick leave bank time rather than PTO, the grievant, Sergeant Mr. Klages, needed to obtain a doctor's note; and accordingly, the provisions of Article 17, 18 and 29 as noted are inapplicable and the County did not violate the collective bargaining agreement; therefore the Grievance is denied. Those voting in favor:

Commissioners Schmidtke, Smith, Mahoney, and Belgard. Those voting against: Commissioner Flohrs. Motion carries.

The Board reviewed CY2019 Budget Allocation Requests received from the Rural Minnesota Energy Board, Minnesota Valley Action Council, Southern Minnesota Tourism Association; Red Rock Center for the Arts, and the Martin County Fair.

Motion by Commissioner Belgard, seconded by Commissioner Flohrs, Be It Resolved that the Martin County Board of Commissioners, hereby receive and file the CY2019 Budget Allocation Requests from: Rural Minnesota Energy Board in the amount of \$2,500 (Tentative CY2019 Dues), Minnesota Valley Action Council in the amount of \$11,869.00, Southern Minnesota Tourism Association in the amount of \$1,042.00 (\$0.05 per capita), and the Martin County Fair in the amount of \$17,000.00. Carried unanimously.

Michael Forstner, Martin County Drainage Administrator, was present and provided an update on repair request on CD #56 (east of Rosen's Diversified). Tile really only serves lots within the city limits and should set up a meeting with the City of Fairmont to have them take over the system.

Forstner noted upcoming meetings including: Monday – June 25, 2018, JCD #350 Hearing at 2:00 p.m. in Trimont, MN (Commissioners Belgard and Mahoney to attend); and Friday – June 29, 2018, JD #91 Improvement Preliminary Hearing at 2:00 p.m. at the Martin County Courthouse – Commissioners Meeting Room (Commissioners Flohrs, Dan Schmidtke, and Kathy Smith).

The Board reviewed warrants to be paid June 19, 2018.

Motion by Commissioner Belgard, seconded by Commissioner Schmidtke, Be It Resolved that the Martin County Board of Commissioners, hereby approve bills to be paid on June 19, 2018, and includes the Martin County Highway Department and Drainage bills as presented. Carried unanimously.

Warrants received and paid June 19, 2018, are registered on file in the Auditor/Treasurer's Office as follows:

Revenue Fund – Warrants Approved June 19, 2018	\$176,171.90
Sheriff's Contingency Fund	\$ -22.69
Martin County Economic Development Fund	\$ 696.98
Solid Waste Management Fund	\$ 30,231.23
Law Library Fund	\$ 1,783.94
Building – CIP – Fund	\$ 1,627.78
Bank Building Fund	\$ 5,967.25
Refunding Fund	\$ 80.00
Forfeited Tax Fund	\$ 5.28
Total	\$216,541.67

Road and Bridge Funds Totaled	\$ 58,428.54
Martin County Ditch Funds Totaled	\$219,203.30

Commissioners reviewed their calendars of previous and upcoming meetings and activities: June 5, 2018 – Building Committee meeting; June 6, 2018 – AMC’s District 7 Meeting, Park Board meeting, and Veterans Memorial Committee meeting; June 7, 2018 – F-M Joint Transit Executive Board meeting, and JCD #350 meeting; June 11, 2018 – Personnel Committee meeting at 1:30 p.m., Grand Opening at China House Café in Truman, MN, Pork Masters Golf planning session at Jakes Pizza; June 12, 2018 – Grant Writing Workshop by SHIP at the Holiday Inn in Fairmont, MN, and Library Board meeting; June 13, 2018 – Human Services Executive Committee meeting and MCIT Right to Know Trainings; June 14, 2018 – Insurance Committee meeting, Corn Growers meeting, and Soil and Water meeting; June 15, 2018 – Prairieland meeting; June 18, 2018 – Workforce meeting at 4:30 p.m. in Mankato, MN; June 19, 2018 – regular Board of Commissioners meeting at 4:30 p.m., and Martin County Board of Appeal and Equalization meeting at 6:30 p.m. – Martin County Courthouse – Commissioners Meeting Room; June 20, 2018 – Human Services meeting, F-M Joint Transit Full Board meeting in Blue Earth, MN, and Region 9 Annual meeting in Mankato, MN; June 21, 2018 – Traverse des Sioux Library Board; June 25, 2018 – JCD #350 Hearing at 2:00 p.m. in Trimont, MN; June 26, 2018 – Planning and Zoning meeting at 5:30 p.m., CY2019 departmental budget meetings begin; June 27, 2018 – I&S Workshop in Mankato, MN; June 28, 2018 – Water Plan Committee meeting at 10:00 a.m.; June 29, 2018 – JD #91 Preliminary Meeting at 2:00 p.m.; July 3, 2018 – regular Board of Commissioners meeting at 9:00 a.m. – Martin County Courthouse – Commissioners Meeting Room.

With no further business to wit, Board Chair adjourned the meeting at 5:45 p.m.

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS  
MARTIN COUNTY, MN

---

Tom Mahoney, Board Chair

ATTEST: \_\_\_\_\_  
Scott Higgins, County Coordinator